	Case 2:23-cv-02405-DJC-JDP Docum	ent 27	Filed 01/29/25	Page 1 of 3	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	DAMIAN EARLY,	C	ase No. 2:23-cv-02	405-DJC-JDP (PS)	
12	Plaintiff,				
13	V.		ORDER SETTING INITIAL SCHEDULING CONFERENCE	NITIAL SCHEDULING	
14	RENEE HARDING, et al.,		CONTENED		
15	Defendants.				
16					
17	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, it is hereby ORDERED that:				
18	1. An initial scheduling conference is set for March 20, 2025, at 10:00 a.m. The				
19	conference will be conducted remotely via Zoom. 1				
20	2. No later than fourteen days prior to the scheduling conference, the parties shall file				
21	status reports that address the following: ²				
22 23	a. the factual and legal contentions set forth in the parties' pleadings, briefly summarized;				
24	b. possible joinder of additional parties;				
25	c. expected amendment of pleadings and, if applicable, a proposed deadline for				
26	such amendment;				
27					
28	 The Zoom invitation will be distributed one week prior to the scheduling conference. The parties are encouraged to file a joint status report. 				
	r	1	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

1	d. jurisdiction and venue;				
2	e. anticipated motions and their scheduling;				
3	f. a proposed discovery plan and its scheduling, including deadlines for				
4	exchanging initial disclosures and for disclosing expert witnesses;				
5	g. proposed cutoff dates for completing discovery and dispositive motions; ³				
6	h. any proposed changes to the limits on discovery imposed by the Federal Rules				
7	of Civil Procedure;				
8	i. whether the case is related to any other cases, including any bankruptcy cases;				
9	j. whether an early settlement conference should be scheduled at the initial				
10	scheduling conference;				
11	k. whether the parties will stipulate to the undersigned acting as the settlement				
12	judge and waive disqualification from so acting, or whether they prefer to have a different				
13	magistrate judge conduct a settlement; and				
14	1. any other matters that may add to the just and expeditious disposition of this				
15	matter.				
16	3. The parties are hereby notified that failure to obey federal or local rules, or any order				
17	of this court, "may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by				
18	statute or Rule or within the inherent power of the Court," including dismissal. E.D. Cal. L.R.				
19	110.				
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25	The parties are advised that Judge Peterson generally requires that: (1) expert disclosures				
26	be completed before the close of fact discovery; (2) all motions to compel discovery be heard approximately thirty days before the close of discovery; and (3) all dispositive motions be heard				

Case 2:23-cv-02405-DJC-JDP Document 27 Filed 01/29/25 Page 2 of 3

within approximately ninety days of the discovery completion date. A final pretrial conference

will be set after resolution of any dispositive motions or passage of the dispositive motion

deadline. A trial date will be determined at the pretrial conference.

27

28

4. The parties are reminded of their continuing duty to notify chambers immediately of any settlement or other disposition. See E.D. Cal. L.R. 160. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: <u>January 29, 2025</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Document 27

Filed 01/29/25

Page 3 of 3

Case 2:23-cv-02405-DJC-JDP